RETROFIT CASE STUDY

Cambridge Space Heaters vs. Unit Heaters Food Distribution Warehouse - IN



• 216,000 ft²

· Located in Indianapolis, IN Construction: Roof: R-10 | Walls:16' Metal w/ 2" Insulation | 10' Uninsulated Tilt Concrete



BEFORE

Unit Heaters

Performance

- Uneven temperatures
- Cold dock areas
- · High gas costs
- Poor Indoor Air Quality
- No summer ventilation

Operating Costs Based on: 46,959 therms for 2009 -10 heating season Normalized to 30 year averages @ 50°

\$0.22/ft² Total cost @ \$1.00/therm

AFTER

Cambridge Space Heaters

Performance

- More even temperatures
- Better Indoor Air Quality
- Lower Energy Cost
- Provided summer ventilation

Operating Costs

Based on: 45,446 therms for 2011-12 heating season Normalized to 30 year averages @ 60°

\$0.21/ft² Total cost @ \$1.00/therm

SUMMARY

The Cambridge system saved 5% in gas, while maintaining a 10° high building temperature. If the customer would have maintained 50° they would have saved an additional \$22,000.

Note: If the customer had operated the system at 50° as designed, they would have they would havereduced their fuel consumption by 47%.



Average Space Temp 61°