# **RETROFIT CASE STUDY**

Cambridge Space Heaters vs. Direct Fired Recirculation Parts Distribution Facility - NY

### **Building Specifications**

- 301,000 ft<sup>2</sup>
- Over 30 year old building
- Located in Tappan, NY



### BEFORE

Indirect Fired Recirculation

#### Performance

- Uneven temperatures
- Cold dock areas
- High maintenance costs
- High Operating costs

Operating Costs Based on: 209,935 therms for 2003-04 calendar year @60° Normalized to 30 year averages

\$0.70/ft<sup>2</sup> Total cost @ \$1.00/therm

## AFTER

Cambridge Space Heaters

#### Performance

- More even temperatures
- Provides summer ventilation
- Lower Operating costs
- Reduced maintenance cost

### **Operating Costs**

Based on: 159,841 therms for 2008 calendar year @65° Normalized to 30 year averages

**\$0.53/ft<sup>2</sup> Total cost** @ \$1.00/therm

### SUMMARY

The Cambridge system used **24% less** natural gas. The Cambridge system saved approximately **\$50,000/year** operating at \$0.53/ft<sup>2</sup> vs. \$0.70/ft<sup>2</sup>.

NOTE: If owner would have operated the Cambridge System @ 60°, they would of saved \$88,000/year.

